

Comment on 'Exact solutions of the two-dimensional Burgers equation'

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2000 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 5157

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/33/28/401>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.123

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 08:28

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

COMMENT

Comment on ‘Exact solutions of the two-dimensional Burgers equation’

Jarmo Hietarinta

Department of Physics, University of Turku, FIN-20014 Turku, Finland

Received 22 November 1999

Abstract. We demonstrate that an analysis in the paper by Sirendaoreji (1999 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** 6897–900) can be considerably simplified, and show that some of the derived expressions which were claimed to be solutions of the two-dimensional Burgers equation do not, in fact, satisfy that equation.

In [1] Sirendaoreji constructs solutions to the two-dimensional Burgers equation

$$(u_t + uu_x - u_{xx})_x + u_{yy} = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

After starting with a fairly general ansatz the author quickly reduces it to

$$u(x, y, t) = -k \left[1 + \tanh \frac{kx + R(y, t) - \ln P(y, t)}{2} \right] + B \tag{2.11}$$

with the following equations for the unknown functions R and P :

$$\begin{cases} k^2BP - k^3P - kP_t + kPR_t - 2P_yR_y + PR_y^2 + P_{yy} - PR_{yy} = 0 \\ k^2BP^2 - k^3P^2 - kPP_t + kP^2R_t + 2P_y^2 - 2PP_yR_y + P^2R_y^2 - PP_{yy} + P^2R_{yy} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

It is obvious from (2.11) of [1] that only the combination $R(y, t) - \ln P(y, t)$ counts. This becomes clear when one multiplies the first equation of (2.10) with P and subtracts it from the second; the resulting equation can be written as

$$[R(y, t) - \ln P(y, t)]_{yy} = 0$$

with the solution

$$R(y, t) = \ln P(y, t) + ya(t) + b(t).$$

What remains from (2.10) of [1] is then

$$a(t)^2 + kya(t)' + kb(t)' + (B - k)k^2 = 0$$

and since a and b do not depend on y we find the solution

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= \alpha \\ b(t) &= t[-\alpha^2/k - (B - k)k] + \beta \end{aligned}$$

where α and β are the integration constants. The result is therefore nothing more than the standard travelling wave of the kink variety

$$u(x, y, t) = -k [1 + \tanh((kx + \alpha y + \omega t + \beta)/2)] + B \tag{K}$$

with the expected dispersion relation

$$(B - k)k^2 + k\omega + \alpha^2 = 0. \quad (\text{DR})$$

The solution (2.13) of [1] is the solution (K), (DR) above, but solutions (2.14) and (2.,17) of [1] are just their special cases. On the other hand, the proposed results (2.15) and (2.19) are *not* solutions of the original equation: the given R , P pairs (with nonlinear y -dependence) in fact only satisfy the *second* equation of (2.10), but *not* the first.

Reference

- [1] Sirendaoreji 1999 Exact solutions of the two-dimensional Burgers equation *J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen.* **32** 6897–900